

Today's Rings = associative, unital ring.

Lem (Schur's lemma)

If X a set N, M are simple X -modules then

for $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ a hom of X -mods either $\varphi = 0$ or φ is an iso.

Consequently $\text{End}(M)$ is a division ring

Pr: for $\varphi \in M$ so for $\varphi = 0$ or M if for $\varphi = M$ $\varphi \neq 0$
also φ is injective. $\text{im } \varphi \subset N$ is nonzero if $\varphi \neq 0$
 $\Rightarrow \text{im } \varphi = N \Rightarrow \varphi$ is bijective. \square .

Lem: TFAE \forall a ring R

1) R division

2) R has no proper left ideals

3) " " " " right ideals.

Pr: 1 \Rightarrow 2, 3

2 \Rightarrow 1? if $a \in R$ $1 \in \langle a \rangle$

$Ra \neq 0$ left ideal

$1 \in Ra \Rightarrow 1 = ba$ s.t. $a \in R$

similarity $\exists c \in R$ s.t. $cb = 1$

$\Rightarrow a = c \cdot ab = 1 \square$

Frobenius prop: if R -modules are comp reducible

then ℓ - R -mods \leftrightarrow eq. fact.

Π Comp of D_i -modules

D_i are div. rings.

Def: if M an X -module we say M is completely reducible if

$M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ where M_i is a simple X -module.

Cor: R comm. ring then $R \subset \text{field} \Leftrightarrow R$ has no proper ideals
 $\Leftrightarrow 0$ is a max ideal.

Def A ring R is called simple if R has no nontrivial (2-sided) ideals.
 in particular: simple comm. ring = field.

Thm: R is simple $\Leftrightarrow M_n(R)$ is simple for some n

Pl: $M_n(R)$ is simple all n

if R is not simple then for $I \triangleleft R$ proper ideal,

we have $M_n(I) \triangleleft M_n(R)$

if R is simple, $J \triangleleft M_n(R)$ $x \in J \setminus \{0\}$

then write $x = \sum x_{ij} e_{ij}$ $x_{ij} \neq 0$ some i, j assume $x_{11} \neq 0$

$$\text{then } y = e_{11} x e_{11} = e_{11} \sum x_{ij} e_{ij} e_{11}$$

$$= \sum x_{ij} e_{11} e_{ij} e_{11} = x_{11} e_{11} \stackrel{x \neq 0}{\in J}$$

$$e_{ij} e_{kl} = \delta_{jk} e_{il}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n e_{ii} y e_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{11} e_{ii} e_{11} e_{ii} = x_{11} \sum_{i=1}^n e_{ii} = x_{11} I_n$$

\uparrow
 $R \setminus \{0\}$

$$R \times_n R \triangleleft R \Rightarrow \exists c_i, d_i \in R \text{ st. } \underline{\sum c_i x_{ii} d_i = 1}$$

$\neq 0 \Rightarrow 1 \in R \times_n R$

$$\Rightarrow \sum (c_i I_n)(x_{ii} I_n)(d_i I_n)$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(\sum c_i x_{ii} d_i \right)}_1 I_n = I_n$$

$$\Rightarrow I_n \in \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow \mathcal{J} = M_n(R) \text{ D.}$$

note $M_n(R)$ new division if $n \geq 2$ since $e_{11} e_{22} = 0$
 any R is

R as a left R -mod
 \downarrow

Def

A ring R is called left Artinian if ${}_R R$ is Artinian (has f.d.c.)

A ring R is called right Artinian if R_R is Artinian (has f.d.c.)

A ring R is called left Noetherian if ${}_R R$ is Noeth. (has f.d.c.)

A ring R is called right Noeth. if R_R is Noeth. (has f.d.c.)

Def If K is a comm. ring, ^{unital} we say A is a K -algebra
 if A is a ring and also a K -module st. $\forall \lambda \in K, a, b \in A$
 we have $\lambda(ab) = (\lambda a)b = a(\lambda b)$ & $1_K \cdot a = a$

Def $Z(R) = \{a \in R \mid ab = ba \text{ s.t. } b \in R\}$

Notes Z -algebra = ring

If A is a k -algebra & A has finite length as a k -module
 then A is right & left Artinian & Noether.

Map of my theory

typical examples
 in commutative algebras
 over fields

- f. dim algebras (Artinian)
- f. generated algebras (Noether)

non-algebras / fields

- f. d. (Artinian) *this week*
 semisimple algebras
- next semester
 (alg geometry, arithmetic geometry)

f. gen.
 PI algebras

class to free by growth

Banded growth algs
 Noether theory applies with modification

"noncommutative"

(banded Gelfand-Kirillov dim)

Recall:

$M \in R\text{-mod}$ is completely reducible if $M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ M_i simple left R -mod.

Def R is (left) semisimple if ${}_R R$ is completely reducible
right R_2

If R is right semisimple.

if $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ of Right R -mod

$R = \bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda} I_i$ right R -modules
 $I_i \triangleleft_r R$

$$\varphi(mr) = (\varphi m)r$$

$\psi: P \rightarrow Q$ left R -mod

$$\psi(rp) = r\psi p$$

$$1 \in R = \bigoplus I_i$$

$$1 = \sum_{i \in \Lambda'} x_i \quad x_i \in I_i \quad \Lambda' \subset \Lambda \text{ finite.}$$

$$\left[\begin{aligned} 1^2 &= \sum x_i \sum x_j = \sum_{i,j \in \Lambda'} x_i x_j = \sum_{i \in \Lambda'} x_i \\ &\quad \text{" } x_i x_j \in I_i \\ \sum_i \left(\sum_j x_i x_j \right) &= \sum_i x_i \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$\sum_j x_i x_j = x_i$$

I don't know what I was going for.

if $y \in I_j \quad j \notin \Lambda'$

$$1y = y \in I_j$$

"

$$\sum x_i y = \bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda'} I_i$$

$$\text{but } I_j \cap \bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda'} I_i = 0$$

So $y = 0$.

So $I_j = 0 \quad j \notin \Lambda'$

$$\text{Hom}(I_i, I_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ \text{End}(I_i) & i = j \end{cases} = M_{n_i}(D_i)$$

$D_i = \text{End}(I_{n_i})$

$$\text{End}_R^R(R) = \begin{bmatrix} M_{n_1}(D_1) & & & \\ & M_{n_2}(D_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & M_{n_m}(D_m) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times M_{n_2}(D_2) \times \dots \times M_{n_m}(D_m)$$

Thm If every ^{right} R -module is completely reducible then

$$R \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \dots \times M_{n_m}(D_m) \quad D_i \text{ division ring.}$$

Next we should show R_R completely reducible \Leftrightarrow
 $M \in \text{Mod-}R$ comp. reducible $\forall M$.

Next to define Jacobson radical show $\hat{}$ \forall \mathfrak{A}
 Jac. rad. = 0 \iff Artinian.