

# Math 6030, Graduate Algebra, Spring 2025, Homework 1

Instructor: Danny Krashen

Discussing the problems with other people is encouraged,  
but you must write up your own work independently!

1. Suppose that  $R$  is a UFD and let  $S \subset R \setminus \{0\}$  be a multiplicative set. Is it true that every element  $a/b \in R[S^{-1}]$  (so  $b \in S$ ) can be written in the form  $\alpha/\beta$  with  $\beta \in S$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  having no common irreducible factors? Prove that this always happens or produce a counterexample.
2. Suppose  $R$  is a PID and  $M$  is a finitely generated torsion-free  $R$  module (recall that this means  $rm = 0$  if and only if  $r = 0$  or  $m = 0$ ). For  $m, n \in M$  we write  $n|m$  to mean  $rn = m$  for some  $r \in R$ . Show that for any  $m \in M$ , there exists  $n \in M$  such that  $n|m$  and with  $p|m$  implying  $n|p$ .

*hint: you may want to use that  $M$  is Noetherian*

3. For a set  $X$  and rings  $R$  and  $S$ , we say (for the purposes of this problem) that an  $X$ -map from  $R$  to  $S$  consists of a pair  $(\phi, f)$  where  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  is a ring homomorphism and  $f : X \rightarrow S$  is a set map.

Suppose that  $\tilde{R}$  is a ring together with an  $X$ -map  $(\phi, f)$  from  $R$  to  $\tilde{R}$ . We say that  $(\phi, f)$  is universal if for any other  $X$ -map  $(\psi, g)$  from  $R$  to  $S$  there exists a unique ring homomorphism  $\tilde{R} \rightarrow S$  such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \tilde{R} \\ & \searrow \psi & \downarrow \\ & & S \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & \tilde{R} \\ & \searrow g & \downarrow \\ & & S \end{array}$$

commute. In this case, we will also say (by abuse of terminology) that  $\tilde{R}$  is a universal  $X$ -ring over  $R$ .

- (a) Show that if  $\tilde{R}$  and  $\tilde{R}'$  are both universal  $X$ -rings then  $\tilde{R} \cong \tilde{R}'$ .
  - (b) Show that the polynomial ring  $R[X]$  is a universal  $X$ -ring.
4. (corrected!) Let  $R$  be a ring. Recall that a subset  $S \subset R$  is a multiplicative set if and only if  $SS \subset S$  and  $1 \in S$  (note that in the book they also require  $0 \notin S$ , but we will not require this here).

Let  $N$  be an  $R$ -module. We say that  $N$  is  $S$ -localizing if for every  $s \in S$  there exists an  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\iota_s : N \rightarrow N$  such that  $\iota_s(sm) = m$ . We say that a homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  is  $S$ -localizing if  $N$  is  $S$ -local.

We say that a homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  is universally  $S$ -localizing if it is  $S$ -localizing and if for every other  $S$ -localizing map  $\psi : M \rightarrow N$  there exists a unique  $R$ -module map  $\tilde{M} \rightarrow N$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \tilde{M} \\ & \searrow \psi & \downarrow \\ & & N \end{array}$$

commutes. In this case, we also say that  $\tilde{M}$  is a universal  $S$ -localizing module for  $M$ .

- (a) Show that if  $\tilde{M}$  and  $\tilde{M}'$  are both universal  $S$ -localizing modules for  $M$  then  $\tilde{M} \cong \tilde{M}'$ .
- (b) Show that if  $\tilde{M}$  is a localizing module then  $\tilde{M}$  is naturally an  $R[S^{-1}]$  module.
- (c) (optional) Show that  $R[S^{-1}] \otimes_R M$  is universally  $S$ -localizing for  $M$ .

5. (this one might be wrong – counterexamples for extra credit!) Let  $R$  be a ring. Recall that a subset  $S \subset R$  is a multiplicative set if and only if  $SS \subset S$  and  $1 \in S$  (note that in the book they also require  $0 \notin S$ , but we will not require this here).

Let  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules. We say that a homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  is  $S$ -localizing if for each  $s \in S$  and  $m \in M$  there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $sn = \phi(m)$ . In other words, the image of every element of  $M$  is divisible by every element of  $S$ .

We say that a homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$  is universally  $S$ -localizing if it is  $S$ -localizing and if for every other  $S$ -localizing map  $\psi : M \rightarrow N$  there exists a unique  $R$ -module map  $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow N$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \widetilde{M} \\ & \nearrow \phi & \downarrow \\ M & & N \\ & \searrow \psi & \end{array}$$

commutes. In this case, we also say that  $\widetilde{M}$  is a universal  $S$ -localizing module for  $M$ .

- Show that if  $\widetilde{M}$  and  $\widetilde{M}'$  are both universal  $S$ -localizing modules for  $M$  then  $\widetilde{M} \cong \widetilde{M}'$ .
- Show that if  $\widetilde{M}$  is a localizing module then  $\widetilde{M}$  is naturally an  $R[S^{-1}]$  module.
- (optional) Show that  $R[S^{-1}] \otimes_R M$  is universally  $S$ -localizing for  $M$ .