

By Vizing's theorem, now know

$$\chi'(G) = \Delta(G) \text{ or } \Delta(G)+1 \quad (\text{loopless})$$

$G$  simple today.

Today we'll observe:  $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$  if  $G$  is bipartite.

Recall  $G$  is bipartite  $\Leftrightarrow G$  has no odd length cycles.

If  $c: E_G \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$  <sup>edge</sup> coloring

recall  $c(v) = \# \text{ colors for edges incident to } v.$

$$\sum_v c(v) = 2 \times \text{edges}$$

no more gooder  $\Leftrightarrow$  optimal

Theorem If  $G$  is bipartite then  $\chi' = \Delta$ .

Pf: Suppose  $c$  is an optimal edge coloring w/  $\Delta$  colors.

suppose  $c(v)$  has less than  $\Delta(v)$  colors.

there must be a repeated color "i" and an unused color "j" at  $v$ .

Consider the edges  $E_i \subset E_G$  colored  $i$ ,  
 $E_j$  colored  $j$

let  $H = G[E_i \cup E_j]$ , consider the component  
containing  $v$ .

The comp- containing  $v$  is not an odd cycle.

$\Rightarrow$  can 2-color edges of the comp so that  
each color arises at least once at each vertex.

Do this w/ colors  $i, j$ . <sup>get a new color</sup> This is an improvement of

$c$  (  $c'(v) = c(v) + 1$ ,  $c'(w) \geq c(w)$  )  
all other  $w \in V_G$

contradicting optimality of  $c \Rightarrow c$  is proper

□.

Matchings (aka 1-regular subgraphs)

Language:

Def A matching in a graph  $G$  is a subset  
of edges  $M \subset E_G$  s.t. no two elements of

non-loop  $\rightarrow$  of edges  $M \subseteq E_G$  s.t. no two elements of  $M$  are adjacent.

Def If  $M$  is a matching on  $G$ , then we say a vertex  $v \in V_G$  is saturated (by  $M$ ) if  $v$  is incident to some edge in  $M$ .

Def  $M$  is perfect if every vertex is saturated.

Def  $M$  is a maximum matching if  $|M| \geq |M'|$  for all matchings  $M'$ .

Def If  $M$  is a matching on  $G$ , a path is called  $M$ -alternating if edges alternate between "in  $M$ " & "not in  $M$ ".

Def An  $M$ -alternating path is  $M$ -augmenting if its ends are unsaturated.

Theorem (Berge '57)

$M$  is a maximum matching  $\iff$  there are no  $M$ -augmenting paths.

Theorem (Berge '57)  $|M|$  is a maximum if and only if  $G$  has no  $M$ -augmenting paths.

Pf: If  $G$  has an  $M$ -augmenting path

$v_1 v_2$      $v_2 v_3$      $v_3 v_4$     ...     $v_{2n-1} v_{2n}$   
 not in  $M$     in  $M$     not    ...    not in  $M$

$v_1, v_{2n}$  unsaturated then

define  $M' = M \cup \{v_2 v_3, v_4 v_5, \dots, v_{2n-2} v_{2n-1}\} \cup$

$n-1$  of these  $\{v_1 v_2, v_3 v_4, \dots, v_{2n-1} v_{2n}\}$   
 $n$  of these

is a match w/

$$|M'| = |M| + 1.$$

Conversely, suppose  $M$  is not maximum. want to show  $\exists$  an  $M$ -augmenting path in  $G$ .

Typical situation: Focus on bipartite graphs

$G$  w/ given bipartition  $V_G = X \cup Y$

(no vertices in  $X$  are adj. to each other, same for  $Y$ .)

$$E_G = [X, Y] \quad X \cap Y = \emptyset.$$

Q1  $\exists$  a matchy saturating every vertex in  $X$ ?

Theorem  $\exists$  as above  $\iff \forall$  subsets  $S \subset X$

$$|N(S)| \geq |S|$$

$$N(S) = \{ \text{vertices adj to vertices in } S \}$$