k-connected = k-vertex-convected =
$$(K(G) \ge k)$$

convected = 1 -connected = $(K(G) \ge 1)$
k-edge connected = $(\lambda(G) \ge k)$
 k -edge connected = $(\lambda(G) \ge k)$
 k -edge $(K(G) \ge 2)$
 k -convected $(K(G) \ge 2)$

1. If G is not complete, show G has a vertex cut.

G is not complete it and only if G has a vertex cut.

scalpel

alitor

0 1

hammer

4. Explain why S(G) = \(\lambda(G)\) X(G) = minimum size of an edge col. if I an edge of style k then k= 2(6). Questini Janedycot of size SLG)? nemare all edgs in widn't be

of the second K(G) 7.1 (caneclo)) In practue: can "eyesall" K(G) 72 K(G)=1 co of whe K(G) >2 => nonseparable K(G) = 4 ar 5? Iterd.

value of KCG) camputationally intresty.

values can vay widely our

(Smile pictres)

K(G) & X(G) & S(G)

1 6 = Kn

7. If G is k-connected k > 1, + v & V (G) then G-v B (k-1)-connected. Pf: (Assume G is not complete) G k-cannected, have to remove at least k workers (G-V)-(S)

if v was prt at a mill vestex cut, could remove k-1 more Altrate vew point? Gis k-connected General be disconverted by remay k-1 vertices it we remove v, no choice . I k-2 more will make G disconnected. To show G-v is k-1 connected, want to show that from ScV(G-v), #S=k-Z,

that from ScV(G-v) and non than G-(Sugus)

G- (50803) E-1 thys

it G complete, soy Gikn (KlG)=n-1) Case 2: G complete. and G is k-connectede

K(G)= n-17k G-V2 Kn-1 K(G-V)=n-2 > k-1 so G-v is k-1 connected.

Alternative definition

Det K(G) = minimum size of a subset S < V(G) such that G-S is disconnected or trivial

Note it we have G, #V(G)=n. if G is not complete thin I quotex ail. 3 ScV(6) G-S disserveted => #S < h-2 to make G-S train would reque # S=n-1 Sa S mininusye as above would be one maky G-S discannedad.

if Gis complete, G-S never disconnel, reed n-1 whose removed to make from 1 $\Rightarrow K(G) = n - 1$