

One purpose of homological algebra

repair failure of exactness

$A \xrightarrow{f} B$ hom. of abgps.

$A/\text{im } f \xrightarrow{f/2} B/\text{im } f$ induced map.

if f is surjective, so is $f/2$.

if f is injective, $f/2$ may or may not be.

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \mathbb{Z} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Step 1: construct exact sequence (w/ map of interest)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0$$

Step 2: construct compatible resolutions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathbb{Z} & \downarrow & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (-1,2) & & \downarrow 2 & & \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \mathbb{Z} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (2,1) & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} & \mathbb{Z} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

nows are split!

Note: if we had a split injection (or a split SES)
of Ab-gps

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A \oplus B \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

$$A \oplus B /_{2(A \oplus B)} = A/_{2A} \oplus B/_{2B}$$

Apply mod 2 to diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \text{Tor}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2) & & \text{Tor}^1(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2) & & \\
 & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \curvearrowright \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathbb{Z}/2 & & \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow (-1, 2) & & \downarrow 2 & & \\
 \mathbb{Z}/2 & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \mathbb{Z}/2 & & \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow (2, 1) & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathbb{Z}/2 & \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & \downarrow \text{Tor}^0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2) & \downarrow \text{Tor}^0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2) & & \downarrow \text{Tor}^0(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2) & & \\
 0 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

snake lemma "explains" failure of left exactness

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

} resolutions
 A₀ is a resolution of A
 presentation

$$\mathbb{Z}_0 \quad B$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \rightarrow A_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} A_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} A_0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{0} \\
 \hline
 A_0
 \end{array} \quad \text{exact complex}$$

\rightsquigarrow SES of complexes $0 \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow B_0 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow 0$
special in that e.g. each row is split.

\Rightarrow apply (troublesome) functor F ($= \mathbb{Z}/2$)

$$0 \rightarrow FA_0 \rightarrow FB_0 \rightarrow FC_0 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{via exact LSES.}$$

at 0 level (H^0) get back $FA \rightarrow FB \rightarrow FC \rightarrow 0$
if F is r. exact.

Other functors

$$A \longrightarrow A/2A = A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2} \mathbb{Z}/2$$

$$M \longrightarrow M \otimes_R N \quad \text{given } N \text{ a left } R\text{-mod}$$

$$\rightarrow \otimes_R N : \text{Mod}_R \longrightarrow \text{Ab}$$

Def N is called a flat R -module if $\otimes_R N$ is exact.

G -modules

Def G group, we say M is a G -module if it is an Ab grp w/ action of G i.e.

$$G \times M \rightarrow M \quad g(m+n) = gm + gn$$

$$(g, m) \mapsto gm$$

$$(gh)m = g(hm)$$

$$1 \cdot m = m$$

Remark G -modules $\hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}G$ modules

Def if M is a G -module

$$M^G = \{m \in M \mid gm = m \text{ all } g \in G\}$$

$$M_G = M / \langle m \cdot g \mid g \in G \rangle$$

\circ_G is left exact \circ_G is right exact.

We want a machine which takes a functor
 $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between Abelian categories
which is left (or right) exact,
and produces a seq. of supplementary functors
which extend the left (or right) exact seq.
to a LES.

$$R^i F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

$$R^0 F = F$$

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$



$$\dots \rightarrow R^i F C \rightarrow R^i F A \rightarrow R^i F B \rightarrow R^i F C \rightarrow 0$$

Derived Functors

S-Functors

Informally a S-functor is a procedure for turning SES to LBS.

Def A, B Abelian Categories a homological S-functor from A to B is a collection of

additive functors $T_n : A \rightarrow B \quad n \geq 0$

together w/ for every SES

$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$ in SES_A

a morphism $T_n C \xrightarrow{\delta_n} T_{n-1} A$ such that
we get a long exact sequence (exact complex)

$$\dots \rightarrow T_n(A) \xrightarrow{T_n(f)} T_n(B) \xrightarrow{T_n(g)} T_n(C) \rightarrow$$

$$\curvearrowright T_{n-1}(A) \xrightarrow{T_{n-1}(f)} \dots$$

$$\dots \rightarrow T_0 B \rightarrow T_0 C \rightarrow 0.$$

and such that S_n are natural in the sense
that whenever we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A' & \rightarrow & B' & \rightarrow & C' & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

we get a comm. diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_n(C) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & T_{n-1}(A) \\ T_n(\gamma) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{fund} \\ T_n(C') & \xrightarrow{\delta} & T_{n-1}(A') \end{array}$$

Example (2.1.2)

H_0 is a S -functor from Ch_A to \mathbb{A}

Ex (2.1.3)

A an Ab-gp, set $T_0(A) = A/nA$

$$T_1(A) = \{a \in A \mid na = 0\} = A[n]$$

Claim: if $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ exact.

then get a SES:

$$0 \rightarrow A[n] \rightarrow B[n] \rightarrow C[n] \rightarrow A/nA \rightarrow B/nB \rightarrow C/nC \rightarrow 0$$

How? $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \downarrow n & \downarrow n & \downarrow n \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & C \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

given S, T S-functors from A to B

a morphism of S-functors $\varphi: S \rightarrow T$
is a collection of natural transformations

$$\varphi_i: S_i \rightarrow T_i \text{ s.t. for } 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } SES_A$$

we get a commutative ladder:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & \rightarrow \\ & & & & & & T_{n-1}(A) \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ \rightarrow & T_n(A) & \rightarrow & T_n(B) & \rightarrow & T_n(C) & \rightarrow \\ & \downarrow \varphi_n(A) & & \downarrow \varphi_n(B) & & & \\ & S_n(A) & \rightarrow & S_n(B) & \rightarrow & S_n(C) & \rightarrow \\ & & & & & & \rightarrow \end{array}$$

Def a S-functor T from A to B is universal
if \forall S-functors $S: A \rightarrow B$ \nexists all nat. transformations

$f_0: S_0 \rightarrow T_0$ \exists unique $f_0: S \rightarrow T$ extends it.

if \exists univ. S func extols T_0

we say that the T_i 's are the
"satellite functors for T_0 "